

OCCUPATIONAL CREATIVE THINKING RUBRIC

School: _____

Contestant 1 Name: _____

Contestant 2 Name: _____

Contestant 3 Name: _____

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Not Demonstrated			Emerging			Proficient			Mastery			Exceeds			Group Points Earned
	C1	C2	C3	C1	C2	C3	C1	C2	C3	C1	C2	C3	C1	C2	C3	
Georgia CTI Competitive Event Portfolio																
1. Title page with Competitive Event Name & Project Title & Level/ Student Name/School Name	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2							
2. Cover Letter (1 page)	0	0	0				2	2	2							
3. Resume (1-2 pages)	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	4	4							
4. References (3-5 required)	0	0	0				2	2	2							
5. Potential Employers & Job Titles for your Career Interest (1 page)	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2							
6. Typed Information, correct spelling, grammar	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	4	4							
7. Letter of Recommendation	0	0	0				2	2	2							
Sub-total:																
Divide by the number of team members																
Average Sub-total:																
Judge Comments on CEP:																
EVALUATION CRITERIA	Not Demonstrated			Emerging			Proficient			Mastery			Exceeds			Points Earned
	C1	C2	C3	C1	C2	C3	C1	C2	C3	C1	C2	C3	C1	C2	C3	
Process & Presentation																
1. Demonstrated understanding of the problem	0			2			4						6			
2. Demonstrated creative thinking process and techniques	0			2			4						6			
3. Statement and appropriateness of solution	0			3			6									
4. Quality and originality of solution	0			4			8			10			12			
3. Poise and Demeanor	0			1			2			3			4			
4. Eye Contact (discretion for visually impaired)	0			1			2									
5. Handshake	0			1			2									
6. Career Specific Attire or CTI competitive dress code (see appendix A)	0			3			6									
7. Personal Grooming	0						4									
8. Demonstration within 5 minute limit	0						4									
Sub-total																
Judge Comments on Process & Presentation:																

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Not Demonstrated	Emerging	Proficient	Mastery	Exceeds	Points Earned
Teamwork:						
1. Contribution of each member to team solution	0	2	4			
2. Positive attitude exhibited by members and respectful of other members	0	2	4			
3. Demonstrated problem-solving skills	0	2	4		6	
Sub-total						
Judge Comments on Teamwork:						
EVALUATION CRITERIA	Not Demonstrated	Emerging	Proficient	Mastery	Exceeds	Points Earned
Project:						
1. Size Allowance: 4'L x 4' W x 8'H	0		2			
2. Workmanship and Quality of Work	0	3	6	9	12	
3. Originality & Creativity	0	2	4	6	8	
4. Appearance of Project/Display	0		3		6	
Sub-Total:						
Judge Comments on Project:						
GRAND TOTAL						
Disqualification: Appendix E student project authenticity verification form NOT present						

General Judge Comments: